Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jasbir Singh, S/o Gurbaksh Singh, Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana – 123 455

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2508 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Harjeet Kaur, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **16.6.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his application dated **6.6.2020** to DC SAS Nagar, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.7.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.3.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the requested information. The respondent PIO, represented by Harjeet Kaur, Senior Assistant, present via Video Conference from the Deputy Commissioner's Office at Mohali, stated that the requested information was sent to the appellant, within the stipulated 30-day period, vide Letter No. 4604/RTI dated 9.7.2020. The appellant, however, claimed that he has not received the information and filed this appeal, following which, the respondent PIO once again sent him a copy of the information under intimation to this Commission.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
17.3.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Partap Singh,

S/o Late Mihan Singh, # 35, New Moti Dham Colony, District Patiala-147001

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 45 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Partap Singh (Complainant)
Anju Rani, Clerk (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, **Partap Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **21.10.2020**, and sought information regarding the action taken on Letter No. 24/10/2020/BM-1/6499 dated 3.7.20 etc., When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **31.12.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.3.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility at the Deputy Commissioner's Office in Patiala. The respondent PIO, represented by Anju Rani, Clerk from the Tehsil office in Patiala, stated that a reply vide Letter No. 6329 was sent by Registered Post to the complainant. The said reply has also been submitted to this Commission. An examination of the PIO's reply shows that the respondent has in fact addressed the query raised in this RTI application adequately.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 17.3.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sundeep Goyal,

S/o Dharampal Goyal, # 1557/1, Purani Press Road, Near Arya Samaj, Patiala

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 187 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sundeep Goyal (Appellant) (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his applications of **23.1.20** and **1.2.20** about the conversion of residential area into commercial area, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.3.2021**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The appellant, Sundeep Goyal, acknowledged that the respondent PIO has sent him a written, point-wise reply vide Letter No. 492/BCD dated 27.10.2020. The appellant contended that the said reply is "incomplete and does not address all the points of the RTI application". An examination of the PIO's reply, however, reveaded that most of the unanswered points are in the form of questions not admissible as per the RTI Act, 2005.
- 3. This Commission is of the view that the respondent PIO's reply adequately addresses this RTI application.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab. Chandigarh 17.3.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ranjit Singh (Advocate), S/o Sukhdarshan Singh, Chamber No. 122, MG Complex, District Courts, Patiala

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 190 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **20.12.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding an application</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.1.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.3.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has emailed the Commission to acknowledge that he has received the information. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, present via Video Conference from Patiala, has submitted a copy of the information along with the appellant's receiving to this Commission.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 17.3.2021